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Abstract

What teachers understand to be the subject matter knowledge (SMK) of the subject discipline that they are teaching is an integral part of the teaching/learning process. It is an assumption within this thesis that SMK can help shape and orientate teachers’ planning, selection of teaching materials, classroom teaching and the assessment of student learning. Although SMK is an essential aspect of teaching, it was not the focus of sustained research until the mid-1980s. Since then, there has been a growing number of studies on SMK of teachers in subject disciplines such as mathematics, English literature, history, biology and social science. However, relatively little is known about the SMK of TESOL teachers. In particular, very little is known about TESOL teachers’ perspectives on SMK.

The study reported in this thesis addresses the deficit. It investigated the perspectives of Teaching-of-English-to-speakers-of-other-languages’ (TESOL) teachers on SMK in language teaching in the ELICOS setting. As the study was located within the interpretivist paradigm, the primary modes of data collection were those that elicited qualitative data. Thus, semi-structured interviews, document analysis, non-participant observations and field notes formed the main methods of data gathering. They provided the bulk of the data for the study and were analysed using the grounded theory method.

The findings are presented as a series of inter-related propositions. These propositions deal with:

1. How TESOL teachers see their role as ELICOS within the set conditions of the workplace;

2. How TESOL teachers see their role as ELICOS teachers in terms of developing teaching strategies that accommodate the constraints posed by the way ELICOS programs are organised, managed, and operated;

3. How language for TESOL teachers is a complex system consisting of non-verbal and verbal codes;

4. How language for TESOL teachers is a tool, which is used predominantly for communication and that communication is concerned with the expression, interpretation and negotiation of meaning;

5. How meaning can only be fully realised when language is taken within its socio-cultural context;

6. How TESOL teachers view the physical, affective and social environment as affecting good teaching;

7. How TESOL teachers perceive that three types of needs have to be addressed in their teaching, namely, knowledge, affective and behavioural needs;
8. How TESOL teachers’ perceive that two sets of strategies need to be employed in the learning/teaching process, namely strategies based on an understanding of the nature of the SMK and strategies based on an understanding of learning in general.

It is recognised that the research findings, based as they are on in-depth study of a select group of participants, do not have generalisability in the statistical sense. On the other hand, sufficient detail of the research context, data collection and data analysis is laid out so that readers can make their own judgements about transferability to other settings. Finally, implications are proposed for theory, for practice and for future research.
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