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Because it takes more than one ton of coal\(^1\) to smelt a similar quantity of minerals, and because coal is weight for weight less valuable than the mineral, it makes good logistical and economic sense to transport the mineral to the coal rather than \textit{vice versa}. Yet in the 19th century at the Burra Burra mines, common sense appears to have been turned on its head. Not only was the smelter erected by the Patent Copper Company (later called the English & Australian Copper Company) located in South Australia, where at the time there were no proven coal deposits, but it was situated at Kooringa 160km inland from the main Port of Adelaide, and adjacent to the Burra Burra mines, thus making the decision seemingly even more illogical from an economic point of view. The paper sets out to examine the reasoning behind the decision to locate at the site.

Early Smelting

The decision to smelt ores locally was undertaken by the proprietors of the South Australian Mining Association [SAMA] in the belief that it would increase profits, as it was believed that the value-added would mean greater unit returns, lead to transport savings associated with reduction of the ores (especially low grade ores), and remove the company from reliance upon the Swansea (UK) smelting oligopsony that controlled most of the world’s smelting activity.

The alternatives considered were whether to smelt near the mine, or to choose a site near the coast, or to take the ores to a site in proximity to coal reserves. Of the latter, Tasmania was one option considered, while another obvious location was identified as Newcastle, NSW. The editor of New South Wales’ \textit{Maitland Mercury}, had no doubts about the best location, seeing Newcastle as being ‘admirably adapted for carrying on the smelting trade’ for South Australia, having advantage of cheap coals, and good harbour facilities.\(^2\) Similar arguments, plus the advantage of cheap convict labour were later extended to locating at Schouten Island, Tasmania.\(^3\) However, such argument did not sway the majority of mine directors who quickly calculated that the supposed advantages were illusory and that they would be better off shipping and selling their ores in Swansea than risking their subterranean wealth on untried utopias. Even more beneficial than Swansea was the perceived advantage in locating next to the mine using charcoal as the fuel for smelting. This advantage was detailed in the \textit{Mining Journal}, it being claimed that the Burra miners would produce copper at half the cost when compared to sending to Newcastle or Swansea. This was based on a calculation that that concluded the benefits of using charcoal would substantially outweigh the freight costs for ores despite the fact that for smelting purposes, three-times the weight of charcoal would be required than coal and that per ton, charcoal was almost twice as expensive.\(^4\) This was the conclusion despite the calculation being biased in favour of the two outports, for the \textit{Mining Journal} ignored the cost of sending the ores to Port Adelaide. It also ignored the transport advantages to the miners of reducing ores to copper close to the mine that would have resulted in greatly cutting transport costs to that Port. The basis of the original calculation was no doubt based on SAMA
undertaking the smelting its own ores, something that it attempted with some alacrity soon after the opening of the Burra mine.\textsuperscript{5}

The main reason for this decision was probably associated with the abundance of wood, especially hardwoods, reported as burning with ‘an intense heat and steady blaze … [which made] a most superior charcoal’\textsuperscript{6} These hardwoods were believed to be in such profusion in the area surrounding Burra that early smelters forecast being able to supply all fuel wants for at least 30 years,\textsuperscript{7} thus making the movement of ores to the coalfield unnecessary.\textsuperscript{8} This was recognized even before the first charge was fired at the opening of the Burra Burra Mine on 29 September 1845, for a week earlier, director, James Bunce, reported that a newly arrived group of German miners and smelters were prepared to process SAMAs ores if allowed a proportion of all copper produced.\textsuperscript{9}

Subsequently a Captain Éy and Captain George Ludwig Dreyer and his son were appointed to supervise construction of a charcoal furnace.\textsuperscript{10} Capital outlay promising to be small, SAMA agreed to supply the materials, with smelting utensils and tools being purchased from the German smelters for £120 15s, bricks being supplied by contractor John Bagg using clay found on SAMA land at £1.7s per 1,000, and timbering of the smelter house amounting to £265.\textsuperscript{11} With a contract for a Mr Breeze to supply 1,000 bushels of charcoal at seven pence a bushel delivered to the Mine,\textsuperscript{12} the directors sat back to await early results but hope turned to frustration as delay followed delay. Language and communication problems between SAMA personnel and Éy and Dreyer that necessitated the appointment of F.V. Sommers as interpreter, a shortage of skilled artisans, especially masons, deficient knowledge of design and use of inferior materials by smelting-house architect and SAMA director, G.S. Kingston, were just some of the problems encountered.\textsuperscript{13}

It took 19-months, until April 1847, rather than the anticipated few weeks, before the smelting house was completed and until September of that year before the first trial took place.\textsuperscript{14} It produced four cwt of copper at the first smelt but the performance was unsatisfactory due to mechanical deficiencies and high production costs.\textsuperscript{15} Dreyer became the scapegoat for the disappointing performance, being declared a ‘good smelter … [but] too fond of having a host of Germans about him, some of whom are merely loungers about, and not particularly zealous in the cause’.\textsuperscript{16} This experience saw the directors abandon all efforts to smelt on their own account. With the furnaces estimated as only being able to produce eight cwt of coarse copper per day, and with the ambition to pass through large tonnages, the directors realized that much larger sums would have to be outlaid in order to capitalise a satisfactory smelter.\textsuperscript{17}

Even before this, other outlets were being investigated. By July 1846, doubts as to the progress were evident, for Dr. Edward Davy,\textsuperscript{18} who had unsuccessfully asked the directors in December 1845 to accept his own smelting process, was approached to see whether he would undertake the venture, the directors being impressed by the claim that his process was capable of producing one ton of copper from five tons of 20 per cent ore, using only 165 bushels of charcoal.\textsuperscript{19} Despite a satisfactory trial attended by SAMA directors, that produced 23lbs of ‘pure copper’ from 44lbs of ore,\textsuperscript{20} the terms offered by Davy were unsatisfactory.\textsuperscript{21} Davy also suffered from a shortage of capital and a month after his first overtures, SAMAs Board was again approached, this time to persuade them to take out shares in a smelter that his Adelaide Smelting Company was constructing at Yatala, near Port Adelaide - but again he was turned down.\textsuperscript{22} This rejection was not explained, though it can be surmised that SAMAs directors were not convinced that he could handle the large tonnages that they envisaged and were also concerned at the difficulties he would meet in finding sufficient charcoal fuel for his project. Davy had planned to obtain his wood from areas surrounding Adelaide,
Kangaroo Island and the Yorke Peninsula but with the proliferation of farming close to the City, the requirements of timber and fuel by a growing population and the fact that much land had already been taken into private hands, then the cost of wood (if obtainable), promised to be prohibitive, especially when compared to the resources on SAMA's own 10,000 acre survey and the timber available from the adjacent Murray Scrub. Davy had earlier reported that to provide charcoal to produce three tons of copper a day would require two acres of land and that it would take six tons of charcoal to reduce 15 tons of ore. The doubts of SAMA were further strengthened when Davy's company failed to pay SAMA for ores received, resulting in litigation from the end of 1849 that wasn't resolved until some four years later.25

But SAMA also had other options. In January 1849, Charles Morrissey Penny and William Owen opened their 'Apoinga' smelter some 37km south of Burra and situated in the midst of a plentiful supply of timber. Some two years earlier, in October 1847, they had approached SAMA to obtain a monopoly, claiming they could treat ores containing as little as five per cent copper. The directors declined but allowed them 5,000 tons of ore on the understanding that payment should be in copper or cash, with security to be provided as ore was delivered. Results were far from satisfactory. SAMAs Directors Minutes show that only about two-thirds of the 5,000 tons had been smelted by 17 July 1851, and that because of small capacity, the proprietors had continually failed to remove tonnages of ore set aside for them by SAMA. In addition, the furnaces, according to Annear and Bannear, could smelt only very high-grade ores. Furthermore the quality of the copper produced being suspect, SAMA insisted in 1850 that the firm should pay for ore in cash at a rate of 10 shillings per unit. Small tonnages continued to be supplied until a few months before the effects of the goldrush temporarily closed the Apoinga establishment but SAMAs directors had long before seen little chance of economic salvation in that direction.

Patent Copper Company and the English & Australian Copper Company
It was early realized that Burra ores were ‘exceptional’, for unlike nine-tenths of copper found in the world at the time, that were sulphurets, the mine produced hydrated carbons and oxides. This had both an up and a down side. On the up side was that the ores did not require concentration or calcining, though this was partly offset by the need to hand dress before smelting, whereas sulphurets could be placed directly into the furnace with little or no preparation. On the down side might be considered the fact that the ores of hydrated carbons and oxides did not enjoy the advantages of sulphurets which were naturally combustible because of the sulphur content, although that seeming disadvantage was more than compensated by other advantages. Thus, in Swansea, the smelters were delighted at the high quality of the Burra ores which when mixed with sulphurets enabled eight processes to be reduced to six thus reducing costs. But even better was the benefit promised to the SAMA board by the overtures of a Swansea based Company, the Patent Copper Company [PCC] owned by Henry William and John Schneider. The company claimed that their ‘Napier’ process offered the solution to SAMAs woes by reducing the number of processes from eight to two, with significant savings in time and fuel. Located at the Spitty Works, Loughor [Llwchwr], in South Wales, the Schneider Brothers had experience of mining, and smelting, including in South America, before purchasing the Spitty property in 1845. Adopting the Napier process, they were noted as purchasers of SAMAs rich produce, but rather than compete at the Swansea ticketings for these much vaunted ores, they decided to persuade the Association to grant them a monopoly on ore purchases and to open up a smelter in South Australia. Their idea was
to send the richer ores to the Spitty smelter while smelting lower grade ores in the Colony. Surprisingly, without any guarantee from the Mining Association, the PCC decided to establish a works in South Australia, sending out their representative Gregory Seal Walters in October 1848, on the basis that he would be able to negotiate a favourable deal with the Burra miners. In early negotiations, SAMA offered Walters the same opportunity to tender for ores as it did to other local purchasers but promised special privileges if his Company agreed to erect their smelter at Kooringa rather than at Port Adelaide. Under this inducement, a contract was subsequently signed on 20 November 1848 to smelt SAMAs ores for a period of seven years. This decision wasn’t without its opponents, for a minority among SAMAs directors, fighting for personal vested interests in Davy’s Adelaide Smelting Company, vehemently opposed the contract on the grounds that it was ‘… inexpedient to contract to deliver so large a proportion of the produce of the Burra Mine for one party while such other processes are untried’. They further argued that if the PCC had so superior a process then it need have no fear of competition from other bodies and would be able to maintain its monopoly in the free market. The protest when considered at the Annual General Meeting was defeated by 22 votes to four, enabling the PCC to almost immediately transport inland the equipment and personnel it had already optimistically shipped from Wales to construct and man the works. On 11 December 1848, the foundation stone of the new enterprise was laid and by 1850 over £60,000 had been expended on plant alone. By September 1853 when the Company was taken over by the English & Australian Copper Co. [E&ACC], total South Australian assets amounted to £203,000.

The Company was located at an 80-acre site they named Llychwr, adjacent to the mine that was let at peppercorn rent to the PCC by SAMA. The first furnace of six already completed, was ceremoniously fired by the Bishop of Adelaide on 31 March 1849 – an amazing feat when considered that accommodation for the workers, a brickworks and other infrastructure had all been constructed in 15 months at a site set over 150km inland from Adelaide.

No doubt delighted at the expeditious way that construction had taken place, the smelters must soon have had misgivings at the haste in which they had finalized the contract, for rather than being granted a monopoly on ores, the ‘special consideration’ merely gave them the right to acquire all ores below 35 per cent, with returns being based on a sliding scale up to that percentage. Thus, for ores of 10 per cent the smelters were bound to repay SAMA with 45 per cent of the copper produced. For 35 per cent ores the return to SAMA amounted to 75 per cent of the copper. Above 35 per cent SAMA could use its own discretion on whether to sell to the PCC or any other South Australian smelter, or to send to Swansea. It is no wonder that SAMAs Secretary, Henry Ayers, could triumphantly inform the Association’s major shareholder that ‘We have made a capital arrangement with Schneiders people’. The extent of the PCCs misgivings must, however, have soon come home to Walters who had believed the agreement related to the percentage when brought to ‘grass’, when in fact SAMA related the agreement to dressed ores. When the prolific water pumped from the mine allowed for more efficient dressing of the ores, this allowed SAMA to cheaply raise lower grade ores to above 35 per cent, which gave them free licence of disposal of the dressed ores, along with the large tonnages above that level that were naturally found in the mine.

The first agreement stipulated that 10,000 tons of ore were to be delivered (at 21 cwt to the ton) between April 1849 and April 1850, and 30,000 tons over each of the remaining six years of the contract should the mine produce so much. Ore delivered during one-month was to be paid for in copper in the course of the third month
As it turned out, SAMA was unable to produce 30,000 tons per annum but even on reduced tonnages difficulties were experienced by the smelters in supplying the appropriate copper returns within the stipulated period. That was resolved in 1851 when the agreement was amended to allow arrears of copper to be settled in cash. While occasional difficulties such as inclement weather that disrupted fuel supplies might have been responsible for problems, there were other occasions when the PCC appeared to openly abuse its contract. Thus, for example, when behind in its obligations to SAMA in 1851, the PCC was blatantly exporting large tonnages of copper to Calcutta, Bombay and Singapore, or sending high grade ores to the parent Spitty Works in Wales. In 1856, however, the smelters sold the Spitty concern and thereafter concentrated all their activities within Australia and also drew up a new five-year contract whereby they obtained the whole of SAMAs production of ore.

Transport and Fuel

The question that arises is whether the PCC and E&ACC made a sensible decision in locating at Kooringa? The decision was made on the assumption that the Napier process would allow them to overcome the problems of distance and that the area of location was favourable in terms of access to vital raw materials. The reduction of processes involved in the production of copper from six or eight to two has already been mentioned. This would have led to a saving of fuel, fluxes and labour. Of the former, adequate supplies of wood and charcoal had been proved locally and while in the initial stages the PCC relied on the importation of salt and pig iron that were used as fluxes, the latter material was soon discovered locally, especially at SAMAs Karkulto Mine, as was silica. By January 1850, the need for salt had been eliminated, either because imported anthracite made its use redundant or because through experimentation the smelters discovered that using higher percentage ore eliminated the need. While building and firebricks were also initially imported from Britain in their thousands, this was probably because they made suitable ballast and were therefore cheap and convenient to import, but even before the arrival of Walters, deposits of clay had been discovered in the locality of the mine that made fire-bricks of high quality that more than met the needs of the PCC.

Various estimates have been made of the amount of coal required to smelt the ores. The British, Mining Journal reported that the Burra ores were smelted at a ratio of one ton of ore to two tons of coal. Cumming estimated from aggregate figures that in 1852 the PCCs ratio was one to one to produce a quarter of a ton of copper. James Hamilton, who became manager of the E&ACC reported in 1857 that it took 15,000 tons of coal to smelt 12,000 tons of ore – thus a ratio of 5:4 or 1.25:1, while Henry Ayers gave a 1:1 relationship, though stating some ‘intractable ores require two tons of coal, and one ton of another metal for each ton of ore’. Overtime, there were also improvements in efficiency, as suggested by E&ACCs manager, James Hamilton’s statement in 1859, that he was making 25 per cent more copper with the same amount of fuel that had been used previously.

Such figures help explain why the smelters believed that locating near the Burra mine would not represent a burden. It was explained that as long as ‘up’ traffic balanced the ‘down’ traffic then there was no great benefit in locating near Port Adelaide, for whether utilising their own transport from their depot at Port Wakefield, as was the case with the smelters, or in hiring private transport, as was the case with SAMA, the cost for a one way journey was roughly the same as for a return journey. This was also the argument used by the South Australian Railway officials whose main concern was to cover the cost of a return journey – the reason they gave for extending preferential
treatment to the smelters when the latter began to utilise the railway from the time it was extended from Gawler to Kapunda in 1857.\textsuperscript{65} Coal and miscellaneous materials were taken from Port Adelaide to Kooringa and this was balanced by the back loading of copper and copper ore. When asked in 1857 whether he would benefit by relocating the works to Port Adelaide, E&ACC manager, James Hamilton stated ‘it would be absurd, I think, to do so’, for not only would they have to bring down the ore from the mine to the new smelter but would also be required to cart 3,000 tons of iron ore for fluxes from the locality. In addition, he pointed to the fire-clay, available only on their own property, that would have to be conveyed, plus the large quantity of wood for charcoal used in the smelting, which would be unavailable at the Port. Of the latter, they had 6,000 tons in stock at that time.\textsuperscript{66} However, Hamilton did admit that while on occasion they relied on wood entirely, there was a preference for coal in the smelting process,\textsuperscript{67} though uncertainty of supply made that preference merely wishful.

One advantage in locating at Kooringa was the fact that while coal supplies from New South Wales or Swansea were often disrupted because of communication problems, or shortage of supply,\textsuperscript{68} they could always be certain of large wood fuel supplies locally. Substitution could also prove attractive when coal prices soared in relation to the cost of wood fuel, the latter tending to be much more stable (See Appendix 1). Henry Ayers noted that ‘wood is used in considerable quantities for smelting, and the consumption of coal is reduced in proportion’,\textsuperscript{69} thus qualifying that the smelters switched fuel sources either to balance the up and down traffic or to substitute when coal was in short supply. To give some idea of the proportions used, between October 1848 and July 1852, the smelters expended £15,826 on coal and £26,467 on wood fuel specifically for smelting purposes.\textsuperscript{70} When estimating relative costs, it was generally agreed that three tons of wood fuel did the work of one ton of coal.\textsuperscript{71} Calculating in 1873, at a time when transport costs had declined substantially (see Appendix 1), Henry Ayers concluded that at the ratio of 1:3, purchasing coal at 31 shillings per ton at the Port, plus 25 shillings land transport was virtually the same as purchasing wood at Kooringa at 18 shillings per ton (56 shillings for coal as against 54 shillings for wood) – and this at a time when the price of wood had risen because of shortage of drays.\textsuperscript{72} In the earlier years of smelting, that advantage would have been even greater not only on a cost basis but also on availability, for the smelters up until the end of 1854 relied on imports of coal from Britain – a supply that was irregular and uncertain. Only from that year did the smelters regularly seek coal from New South Wales. At first there was reluctance by the PCC and E&ACC to use this source, as they believed that Newcastle and Bulli coals were inferior to the Welsh anthracite that they had used. They had in fact stockpiled NSW coal at their Port Wakefield depot for three years before being forced to use it because of delays in British coal shipments. They were surprised to find that it served their purpose. As the E&ACC manager, James Hamilton reported:

I have been gratified to find that NSW coal is proving better quality than expected. Mr Williams thinks that long exposure to the atmosphere may have improved it … the furnaces have been melting 34 tons per week; they have rarely done so well, and the coal has been almost wholly Sydney Coal. It is true that the quantity consumed is somewhat greater, but it is not really so, because the Sydney coal is not so clean as it should or might be.\textsuperscript{73}

Thereafter, but especially after 1856 when they reduced copper ore shipments they turned more and more to NSW and especially Newcastle. This followed sale of the E&ACCs Spitty smelter in South Wales, which meant they no longer received special
shipments of coal as return cargo or ballast from Swansea. However, it didn’t fully satisfy their coal supply problems because of lags in delivery from Newcastle to South Australia and they continued to substitute wood. Even during the 1870s, SAMA was complaining as to the expense and uncertainty of coal deliveries to the Port.74

Wood was not only plentiful, as witnessed by the vast consumption of that product by both the smelter and SAMA but with a surfeit of carters in the vicinity there were relatively few periods when stockpiles dwindled to dangerous levels. Timber licences were available for cutting on Crown lands for a fee of £5 per annum and there were plenty of workers prepared to pay this sum while demand for the product remained high. There was also a large supply of carters, so much so that on occasions, would-be suppliers were turned away. Strategies were also used to keep down prices, one being cooperation between the smelters and miners who had a shared interest in keeping down costs.75 Stockpiling was one method adopted and this was done in the less active periods of the agrarian year when farmers were anxious to provide their services. There were also many individual carters but also large contractors – and all of these could be played off against each other to ensure lower cartage costs.76 In addition, the smelters would use their own extensive transport supply to satisfy their needs.77 To illustrate just one of the strategies adopted: with the partial shutdown of the Burra Mine in 1867, the directors ordered that contracts should be set at monthly periods to ensure that tenders would be competitive. In that period they additionally ruled that limits be set on the number of ‘casual’ suppliers, thus forcing such suppliers to bid keenly against other casual suppliers, and at a price equal to or below that of the contractors. Under this system prices as low as 10 shillings per ton for dry wood were obtained.78 In 1872, a bid to supply 3,600 tons of firewood at 70 tons per week was answered by William Woollacott. The tender was accepted but only on condition that he would accept the same price as the Totshill farmers who had promised to deliver firewood rather than to arrive at Kooringa with empty drays while on their way to pick up stores at Kooringa. Woollacott accepted and was paid 12 shillings for dry and 10 shillings for green wood.79 The keen competition is also illustrated by E&ACCs, James Hamilton’s statement in 1857, that he paid for cartage of wood, only eight shillings, or little over 2 1/2pence per mile on a round trip of 30 miles, at which price ‘they were doing nothing at it’.80

But not all was well for the E&ACC, a situation realized by Henry Ayers who remarked in 1854 that ‘The fact is Copper Smelting in South Australia never has paid and the prospects of its succeeding now are less than ever’.81 He was correct for the smelters did not pay their first dividend on their South Australian venture until 1857.82 Ayers was in fact convinced of their dependence on SAMA and in 1856 when the 1851 contract was coming to an end he confided that:

They [EACC] must make another contract with us, or otherwise their loss will be very great the bulk of their colonial property would be almost valueless in the absence of an agreement with us.83

A new contract was signed in 1856 that saw some improvement in the returns of copper from low-grade ores to the E&ACC and also gave them or SAMA the option of pulling out of the contract should either be dissatisfied.84 But the smelters were mollified by the higher returns they had been granted and also by the realization that problems experienced during the goldrush were now behind, and for a few years their fortunes improved.
Part of that improvement was associated with a change in the transport situation, for the arrival of the railway at Gawler saw them abandon their Port Wakefield route for the more direct route to Port Adelaide. Transporting via Port Wakefield had in fact been a burden to them from the time they adopted the route in 1849, though poor accounting procedure was probably the reason that they failed to realize this sooner than 1857. As James Hamilton put it, ‘even in the best of seasons, the smelting works’ transport bills continued to eat up our profits’. But even though the railway crept ever closer to Burra, reaching Kapunda some 80km away by 1860, the E&ACC decided at that time to set up plant at their wharf in Port Adelaide, despite the improved transportation.

A shift in location

Henry Brown put the decision to locate at the Port to declining production at the Burra mines and the desire to capture production of the Moonta and Wallaroo Mines. However, as production in 1860 was the third highest recorded at the mine this was an unlikely reason. As the Moonta Mine was discovered a month after work on the new smelter had begun on 18 May 1861 neither was this a possible reason. While the Wallaroo Mine was discovered in 1859, it was slow to develop and by September 1860 only 500 tons had been raised and barely 200 men employed. In addition, such a decision would have been determined by the Company’s London directors and with the communication at the time - in 1855 mail taking at least 89 days to reach London - this makes it even more unlikely that Wallaroo production would have swayed the decision makers.

Bannear and Annear suggest that declining copper in the ores was probably the reason but again there is little evidence of this at the time especially as ores could be, and were, dressed up by the miners to acceptable percentages. But there was another reason earlier raised by E&ACCs James Hamilton as to why other mines would not be a leading reason for a move:

We would not smelt sulphur there, it would destroy the crops for miles around, and it would be absurd to put up works if we could not smelt all kinds of ore. We should be indicted as a nuisance at the Port.

Hamilton reiterated this point after commencement of building the smelter, pointing out that ‘only low class ores from the Burra which do not contain the noxious quality’ would be used. Perhaps the Mining Journal had the right answer when it reported that the Port Adelaide Works had been established to save carriage from Kooringa but that it had since been extended to allow for ores from Wallaroo and other mines. The development of a new smelter possibly allowed them to adopt improved cost cutting techniques, which might have been another reason for the move. Production figures for the six months ending December 1863 record production at Kooringa as £25 7s per ton to produce refined copper, as against $17 17s at the Port, a very substantial saving. Possibly the smelters in the hope of maintaining their monopoly realised that to maintain their hold over SAMA ores they had to reduce costs in order to offer more attractive terms. There is little doubt that the E&ACC were concerned, for not only had SAMA threatened to ship its ores directly to Swansea but had also been in negotiation for some time with William Henderson with respect to adopting his process of reducing ores with sulphuric acid. By continuing at Kooringa, the smelters had nothing to gain and everything to lose. By moving to Adelaide they could offer more generous terms so as to monopolise SAMAs ores and could also possibly attract production from other mines and also regulus for refining from other smelters.
For a number of years the E&ACC continued to smelt at Kooringa while also smelting at Port Adelaide but the decision from 1864 to utilise Kooringa for producing regulus and to concentrate most of the refining at Port Adelaide turned out to be fortuitous. In the first place production at the Burra Mine declined substantially from 1860/1861 when it produced 13,346 tons, to 2,002 tons of poor ores in 1868. The smelters still continued to send Newcastle coal to Kooringa with most of the activity being now directed at reducing the ore to regulus. The conveyance of regulus and low-grade ores from the Mine but in addition fire clay, iron ore and silica, again saw balanced transportation to and from Kooringa. That balance was also maintained by continuing to augment coal through the use of local wood fuel from the Mallee Scrub. From 1869 to 1871, activity virtually came to a halt as the miners attempted to reconstruct by shifting to open cast mining. The last smelting took place at Kooringa in 1869 when a total of 500 tons of ore was reduced to regulus. From 1871 to 1877 when the Mine finally closed, it was agreed that all ores from Burra would be sold to the E&ACC at Port Adelaide for cash and not exchanged for copper as previously practiced.

In the meanwhile, the E&ACC had reduced its costs through use of the railway to Kapunda by managing to negotiate preferential carriage rates because of its large and year-round custom. In 1861, the firm received special mention by the Railway manager as being the most important customer on the Northern Line, providing in a period of four-and-a-half months ‘the noble quantity of 10,100 tons of coals, etc.’. Knowing their strength, during that year the E&ACC threatened to carry all its produce by dray unless coal freight charges were reduced by 2 shillings per ton (1/2 penny per ton mile). The Railway Commissioners not only conceded to this threat but extended the benefit to goods carried between October 1860 and June 1861. SAMA did not enjoy this advantage but from 1870 when the railway at last reached Burra, rates were charged at 3.0 pence per ton-mile, a halving of previous carriage costs – though perhaps because of their limited production, a little late for the miners to reap any great benefits.

In 1869 the E&ACC began erection of a new smelter at New Lambton, Newcastle, NSW. This opened for business in 1871 and SAMA ended their activities by agreeing to do what they had determined not to do in 1845/46 – to take their ores to the fuel when selling to the E&ACC at Port Adelaide and to the New Lambton Smelting Works in Newcastle.

Examining the figures in column 3, Appendix 2, it will be seen that taking the extremes of recorded high and of low combinations of coal and transport costs, there was an overwhelming advantage in terms of smelting costs throughout the period when using wood rather than coal as a fuel. Also taking into consideration the uncertainty of obtaining supplies of coal for much of the period, including the latter years of activity at the mine; the ready availability of fire-clay, fluxes and other necessary raw materials at Kooringa; and the fact that there was a calculated balance between materials moving to and moving from the coast and the mine; the decision to smelt at Kooringa appears to have been rational. The problems faced by the smelters had more to do with the haste with which they signed their first contract than with fuel supplies, and when it came to renewing the contract they were placed in a poor bargaining position because of the capital they had tied up at the location and their need to ascertain supplies of Burra ores, to be able to function profitably. By the time the Newcastle smelter opened in 1871, SAMA’s position had greatly weakened and it had little choice but to take its ores to the fuel.
APPENDIX 1

Coal and wood fuel prices per ton at Kooringa 1849 – 1876
(Shillings/pence)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Coal price at Pt Adelaide</th>
<th>Coal price at New South Wales</th>
<th>Coal freight NSW</th>
<th>Quoted Land carriage to Pt Adelaide</th>
<th>Adelaide to Kooringa price Kooringa</th>
<th>Estimated Coal price Kooringa</th>
<th>Quoted firewood price Kooringa</th>
<th>Specific coal prices quoted at Kooringa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Coghlan</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Approx</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1849</td>
<td>30s 0d</td>
<td>7s 2d</td>
<td>6s 0d</td>
<td>55s 0d</td>
<td>25s 0d</td>
<td>85s 0d</td>
<td>32s 0d</td>
<td>12s 0d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1850</td>
<td>20s 0d</td>
<td>12s 0d</td>
<td>6s 7d</td>
<td>50s 0d</td>
<td>35s 0d</td>
<td>70s 0d</td>
<td>47s 0d</td>
<td>22s 0d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1851</td>
<td>36s 0d</td>
<td>14s 0d</td>
<td>9s 0d</td>
<td>6s 7d</td>
<td>50s 0d</td>
<td>35s 0d</td>
<td>86s 0d</td>
<td>49s 0d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1852</td>
<td>120s 0d</td>
<td>10s 0d</td>
<td>10s 11d</td>
<td>7s 6d</td>
<td>50s 0d</td>
<td>40s 0d</td>
<td>180s 0d</td>
<td>50s 0d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1853</td>
<td>151s 7d</td>
<td>52s 6d</td>
<td>21s 0d</td>
<td>16s 2d</td>
<td>70s 0d</td>
<td>50s 0d</td>
<td>221s 7d</td>
<td>12s 6d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1854</td>
<td>140s 0d</td>
<td>18s 0d</td>
<td>20s 6d</td>
<td>80s 0d</td>
<td>60s 0d</td>
<td>220s 0d</td>
<td>78s 0d</td>
<td>22s 6d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1855</td>
<td>70s 0d</td>
<td>16s 7d</td>
<td>13s.0d</td>
<td>80s 0d</td>
<td>60s 0d</td>
<td>150s 0d</td>
<td>76s 7d</td>
<td>25s 0d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1856</td>
<td>50s 0d</td>
<td>12s 9d</td>
<td>12s 4d</td>
<td>100s 0d</td>
<td>50 s 0d</td>
<td>150s 0d</td>
<td>62s 9d</td>
<td>17s 0d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1857</td>
<td>46s 0d</td>
<td>45s 0d</td>
<td>15s 7d</td>
<td>14s 1d</td>
<td>60s 0d</td>
<td>106s 0d</td>
<td>92s 0d</td>
<td>17s 6d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1858</td>
<td>45s 0d</td>
<td>36s 0d</td>
<td>21s 0d</td>
<td>15s 0d</td>
<td>30s 0d</td>
<td>101s 0d</td>
<td>71s 0d</td>
<td>16s 9d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1859</td>
<td>40s 0d</td>
<td>38s 0d</td>
<td>15s 0d</td>
<td>13s 3d</td>
<td>27s 6d</td>
<td>112s 6d</td>
<td>83s 1d</td>
<td>20s 0d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1860</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14s 3d</td>
<td>12s 3d</td>
<td>26s 0d</td>
<td>100s 3d</td>
<td>73s 3d</td>
<td>20s 0d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1861</td>
<td>32s 0d</td>
<td>32s 0d</td>
<td>14s 3d</td>
<td>12s 9d</td>
<td>26s 0d</td>
<td>100s 3d</td>
<td>73s 6d</td>
<td>14s 6d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1862</td>
<td>40s 0d</td>
<td>34s 9d</td>
<td>19s 0d</td>
<td>12s 9d</td>
<td>22s 0d</td>
<td>91s 0d</td>
<td>84s 0d</td>
<td>19s 0d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1863</td>
<td>36s 6d</td>
<td>23s 0d</td>
<td>14s 6d</td>
<td>10s 10d</td>
<td>24s 9d</td>
<td>89s 3d</td>
<td>65s 0d</td>
<td>20s 6d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1864</td>
<td>27s 6d</td>
<td>18s 6d</td>
<td>9s 10d</td>
<td>10s 0d</td>
<td>24s 0d</td>
<td>83s 0d</td>
<td>60s 0d</td>
<td>16s 6d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1865</td>
<td>26s 0d</td>
<td>18s 6d</td>
<td>9s 4d</td>
<td>21s 0d</td>
<td>50s 0d</td>
<td>80s 4d</td>
<td>68s 6d</td>
<td>75s 0d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1866</td>
<td>26s 0d</td>
<td>18s 9d</td>
<td>8s 4d</td>
<td>18s 9d</td>
<td>50s 0d</td>
<td>76s 0d</td>
<td>68s 9d</td>
<td>17s 6d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1867</td>
<td>26s 0d</td>
<td>19s 0d</td>
<td>8s 10d</td>
<td>20s 3d</td>
<td>50s 0d</td>
<td>76s 0d</td>
<td>69s 0d</td>
<td>12s 6d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1868</td>
<td>29s 0d</td>
<td>21s 0d</td>
<td>8s 9d</td>
<td>20s 9d</td>
<td>27s 6d</td>
<td>97s 6d</td>
<td>71s 0d</td>
<td>13s 0d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1869</td>
<td>23s 6d</td>
<td>16s 0d</td>
<td>7s 6d</td>
<td>21s 0d</td>
<td>50s 0d</td>
<td>78s 6d</td>
<td>66s 0d</td>
<td>75s 0d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1870</td>
<td>17s 9d</td>
<td>17s 9d</td>
<td>7s 4d</td>
<td>22s 0d</td>
<td>50s 0d</td>
<td>79s 4d</td>
<td>67s 9d</td>
<td>50s 0d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1871</td>
<td>24s 0d</td>
<td>15s 0d</td>
<td>7s 0d</td>
<td>17s 9d</td>
<td>25s 0d</td>
<td>49s 9d</td>
<td>40s 0d</td>
<td>12s 0d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1872</td>
<td>27s 6d</td>
<td>16s 9d</td>
<td>4s 0d</td>
<td>17s 9d</td>
<td>25s 0d</td>
<td>54s 0d</td>
<td>40s 0d</td>
<td>14s 0d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1873</td>
<td>31s 0d</td>
<td>24s 0d</td>
<td>6s 0d</td>
<td>11s 2d</td>
<td>25s 0d</td>
<td>58s 0d</td>
<td>48s 0d</td>
<td>18s 0d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1874</td>
<td>32s 6d</td>
<td>23s 0d</td>
<td>14s 0d</td>
<td>12s 1d</td>
<td>25s 0d</td>
<td>63s 0d</td>
<td>48s 0d</td>
<td>14s 0d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1875</td>
<td>35s 0d</td>
<td>25s 0d</td>
<td>12s 4d</td>
<td>24s 0d</td>
<td>25s 0d</td>
<td>61s 4d</td>
<td>50s 0d</td>
<td>52s 0d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1876</td>
<td>24s 0d</td>
<td>14s 0d</td>
<td>12s 2d</td>
<td>10s 0d</td>
<td>25s 0d</td>
<td>49s 0d</td>
<td>39s 0d</td>
<td>50s 0d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## APPENDIX 1

Coal and wood fuel prices per ton at Kooringa 1849-1876  
(shillings/pence)

### Sources and Notes

**Column 1:**  
All figures from ledgers, journals and cash books of the Patent Copper Company, and the English and Australian Copper Company, Business Record Group 30 [hereafter BRG 30], State Library of South Australia [hereafter SLSA]. Figures marked * refer to British coal imports. There were only a few instances of coal from New South Wales being imported by the smelters before 1857. The reason probably relates to the sale of E&ACC’s Spitty Works in Swansea in 1856, which saw regular shipments of copper ore to that port and the return of coal cargo and coal ballast to Port Adelaide cease. NSW thereafter supplied most of the coal. During the goldrush years 1852-56, very few shipments were received. From 1856, most coal received was supplied by a contractor, Henry Simpson & Sons. It is not known whether the various prices are for screened or unscreened coal. Coal prices are generally understated at Pt. Adelaide because wharfage and other charges have not been included.

**Column 2:**  
High and low rates recorded from journals and cash books, BRG 30, SLSA. Coghlan figures from T.A. Coghlan, *The Wealth and Progress of N.S.W. 1886-87*, George Robertson, Sydney, 1887, p.91. Coghlan gave ‘average’ figures and these have been taken to the nearest penny. In T.A. Coghlan, *Labour and Industry in Australia from the First Settlement in 1788 to the Establishment of the Commonwealth in 1901*, MacMillan of Australia, 1969, 4 vols, vol. II, p. 686, it states that at the height of the goldrush, the price of coal at the pit’s mouth rose to 32s 6d per ton. It is not known whether the various prices are for screened or unscreened coal.

**Column 3:**  
Figures from journals and cash books, BRG 30, SLSA.

**Column 4:**  
Figures from journals and cash books, BRG 30, SLSA; SAMA Directors Out-letter books, BRG 22/960, SLSA; Letters to SAMA Mine Officials, BRG 22/961, SLSA; Also see Mel Davies, ‘Bullocks and Rail - The South Australian Mining Association 1845-70’, *Australian Economic History Review*, Vol. XVII, no. 2, September 1977, pp. 150-165, and Mel Davies, ‘Copper Connections – Burra routes and transport: a matter of economics?’ *Journal of the Historical Society of South Australia*, no. 30, 2002, pp. 52-66, for figures and various references including reports in the *South Australian Parliamentary Papers* where transport prices are given. See also, Frank Treloar, *The Burra Mine. Reminiscences of its Rise and Fall, 1845-1877*, Burra Record, 1929, pp. 14, 32-33, 37, 41.

**Column 5:**  
‘High’ derived from the highest possible combination of prices cited in previous columns, and ‘Low’ from the lowest possible combination.

**Column 6:**  
Sources as per column 4.

**Column 7:**  
See journals, BRG 30, SLSA.; Letters to SAMA Mine Officials, BRG 22/961, SLSA. The E&ACC price was referred to by the smelters as being the ‘consumption price’.
APPENDIX 2

Comparative advantage/disadvantage of using wood fuel at Kooringa, taking the smelting ratio as 3 tons wood to 1 ton of coal and calculating on the basis of the highest and lowest costs recorded.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Estimated cost of coal per ton at Kooringa *</th>
<th>Cost of using wood fuel at Kooringa at smelting ratio of 3:1</th>
<th>Cost advantage over coal in smelting copper ore with wood fuel at Kooringa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High            Low</td>
<td>High            Low</td>
<td>High            Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1849</td>
<td>85s 0d          32s 2d</td>
<td>36s 0d          21s 0d</td>
<td>49s 0d          11s 2d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1850</td>
<td>70s 0d          47s 0d</td>
<td>66s 0d          45s 0d</td>
<td>4s 0d           2s 0d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1851</td>
<td>86s 0d          49s 0d</td>
<td>60s 0d          30s 0d</td>
<td>26s 0d          19s 0d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1852</td>
<td>180s 0d         50s 0d</td>
<td>120s 0d         120s 0d</td>
<td>60s 0d          (-)70s 0d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1853</td>
<td>221s 7d         102s 6d</td>
<td>60s 0d          37s 6d</td>
<td>161s 7d         65s 0d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1854</td>
<td>220s 0d         78s 0d</td>
<td>67s 6d          67s 6d</td>
<td>163s 6d         11s 6d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1855</td>
<td>150s 0d         76s 7d</td>
<td>75s 0d          60s 0d</td>
<td>75s 0d          16s 7d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1856</td>
<td>150s 0d         62s 9d</td>
<td>51s 0d          51s 0d</td>
<td>99s 0d          11s 9d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1857</td>
<td>106s 0d         92s 0d</td>
<td>52s 6d          36s 0d</td>
<td>53s 6d          36s 7d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1858</td>
<td>101s 0d         71s 0d</td>
<td>50s 3d          45s 0d</td>
<td>50s 9d          26s 0d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1859</td>
<td>112s 6d         83s 1d</td>
<td>60s 0d          45s 0d</td>
<td>52s 6d          38s 1d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1860</td>
<td>100s 3d         73s 3d</td>
<td>60s 0d          50s 3d</td>
<td>40s 3d          23s 0d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1861</td>
<td>100s 3d         73s 6d</td>
<td>43s 6d          39s 9d</td>
<td>56s 9d          33s 9d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1862</td>
<td>91s 3d          84s 0d</td>
<td>57s 0d          33s 9d</td>
<td>34s 3d          50s 3d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1863</td>
<td>89s 3d          65 0d</td>
<td>60s 0d          31s 6d</td>
<td>39s 3d          23s 6d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1864</td>
<td>83s 10d         60s 0d</td>
<td>49s 6d          39s 0d</td>
<td>34s 4d          21s 0d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1865</td>
<td>80s 4d          68s 6d</td>
<td>52s 6d          45s 0d</td>
<td>33s 6d          23s 9d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1866</td>
<td>76s 0d          68s 9d</td>
<td>52s 6d          45s 0d</td>
<td>33s 6d          23s 9d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1867</td>
<td>76s 0d          69s 0d</td>
<td>37s 6d          30s 0d</td>
<td>38s 6d          39s 0d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1868</td>
<td>97s 6d          71s 0d</td>
<td>39s 0d          36s 6d</td>
<td>58s 6d          34s 6d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1869</td>
<td>78s 6d          66s 0d</td>
<td>52s 6d          45s 0d</td>
<td>33s 6d          23s 9d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1870</td>
<td>79s 4d          67s 9d</td>
<td>52s 6d          45s 0d</td>
<td>33s 6d          23s 9d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1871</td>
<td>49s 9d          40s 0d</td>
<td>36s 0d          30s 0d</td>
<td>13s 9d          10s 0d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1872</td>
<td>54s 0d          40s 0d</td>
<td>42s 0d          30s 6d</td>
<td>12s 0d          9s 6d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1873</td>
<td>56s 0d          48s 0d</td>
<td>54s 0d          21s 0d</td>
<td>2s 0d           23s 0d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1874</td>
<td>63s 0d          48s 0d</td>
<td>52s 0d          21s 0d</td>
<td>11s 0d          27s 0d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1875</td>
<td>61s 4d          50s 0d</td>
<td>52s 0d          21s 0d</td>
<td>11s 0d          27s 0d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1876</td>
<td>49s 0d          39s 0d</td>
<td>52s 0d          21s 0d</td>
<td>11s 0d          27s 0d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* From column 5
Appendix 1

Figures calculated from column 6, Appendix 1, by multiplying high and low prices by three.

Sources: As per Appendix 1.

Notes: Had the ore been taken to Port Adelaide without being smelted, then land carriage costs would have been multiplied by at least three per ton because of the large amount of waste in the ore, even after it had been dressed.

In 1869 the mine ceased production for two years, though 500 tons of ore was smelted that year. From 1871, ore was transported by SAMA directly to Port Adelaide. However, wood fuel continued to be used by SAMA to drive machinery they had installed.
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